

# List Coloring

---

$v \in V(G)$ ,  $L(v)$  a list of colors

A **list coloring** is a proper coloring  $f$  of  $G$  such that  $f(v) \in L(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ .

$G$  is  **$k$ -choosable** or  **$k$ -list-colorable** if **every** assignment of  $k$ -element lists permits a proper coloring.

$$\chi_l(G) = \min\{k : G \text{ is } k\text{-choosable}\}$$

**Claim**  $\chi_l(G) \geq \chi(G)$

**Claim**  $\chi_l(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$

*Example:*  $K_n, K_{2,2}$

*Example:*  $\chi_l(K_{3,3}) \neq \chi(K_{3,3})$

*Example:*  $\chi_l(G) - \chi(G)$  arbitrary large

**Proposition**  $K_{m,m}$  is not  $k$ -choosable for  $m = \binom{2k-1}{k}$ .

## Edge-List Coloring

---

**List Coloring Conjecture** (1985)  $\chi'_l(G) = \chi'(G)$

**Theorem** (Galvin, 1995)  $\chi'_l(B) = \chi'(B)$  for any bipartite graph  $B$ .

**Proof** for  $B = K_{n,n}$  (Dinitz Conjecture, 1979)

## Detour: Stable Matchings

---

Bonnie and Clyde is called an **unstable pair** if

- Bonnie and Clyde are currently not a couple,
- Bonnie prefers Clyde to her current partner, and
- Clyde prefers Bonnie to his current partner.

A perfect matching (of  $n$  woman and  $n$  man) is a **stable matching** if it yields no unstable pair.

**Theorem.** (Gale-Shapley, 1962) There exists a divorce-free society. More precisely: For any preference rankings of  $n$  man and  $n$  woman there is a stable matching.

*Proof.* Algorithmic.

The proof of divorce-free society\_\_\_\_\_

### Proposal Algorithm (Gale-Shapley, 1962)

**Input.** Preference ranking by each of  $n$  man and  $n$  woman.

#### Iteration.

Each man **proposes** to the woman highest on his list who has **not** previously **rejected** him.

IF each woman receives exactly one proposal, THEN  
**stop** and **report** the resulting matching as *stable*.

ELSE

every woman receiving more than one proposal  
**rejects** all of them except the one highest on her list.

Every woman receiving at least one proposal says  
“**maybe**” to the most attractive proposal she received.

**Iterate.**

**Theorem.** The Proposal Algorithm produces a stable matching.

## Kernel-perfect digraphs and choosability\_\_\_\_\_

A **kernel** of a digraph  $D$  is an independent set  $S \subseteq V(D)$ , such that for every  $v \in V(D) \setminus S$  there is  $w \in S$ , such that  $v\vec{w}$ .

A digraph is **kernel-perfect** if every induced subdigraph has a kernel.

Let  $f : V(G) \rightarrow N$  be a function. A graph  $G$  is called  **$f$ -choosable** if a proper coloring can be chosen from any family of lists  $\{L(v)\}_{v \in V(G)}$  provided  $|L(v)| \geq f(v)$  for every  $v \in V(G)$ .

**Lemma** (Bondy-Boppana-Siegel) Let  $D$  be a kernel-perfect orientation of  $G$ . Then  $G$  is  $f$ -choosable with  $f(v) = 1 + d_D^+(v)$ .

**Theorem** (Galvin, 1995)  $\chi'_l(K_{n,n}) = \chi'(K_{n,n})$ .

*Proof.* Give a kernel-perfect orientation to  $L(K_{n,n})$  with  $\Delta^+ = n - 1$ .

## Kernel-perfect orientation of $L(K_{n,n})$ \_\_\_\_\_

$$M = W = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$$

$$E(K_{n,n}) = V(L(K_{n,n})) = \{ij : i \in M, j \in W\}$$

$$ij \rightarrow i'j \quad \text{iff} \quad i + j > i' + j \pmod{n}$$

$$ij \rightarrow ij' \quad \text{iff} \quad i + j < i + j' \pmod{n}$$

$$d^+(ij) = n - 1 \text{ for every } ij \in V(L(K_{n,n}))$$

Why do we have a kernel for every  $S \subseteq V$ ?

Define an appropriate preference list based on  $S$ , such that for any stable matching  $K$ ,  $K \cap S$  is a kernel.

Man  $i$  prefers woman  $j$  to woman  $j'$  iff

$$ij \in S, ij' \in S \text{ and } ij \leftarrow ij' \text{ or}$$

$$ij \in S, ij' \notin S \text{ or}$$

$$ij \notin S, ij' \notin S \text{ and } ij \leftarrow ij'$$

Woman  $j$  prefers man  $i$  to man  $i'$  iff

$ij \in S, i'j \in S$  and  $ij \leftarrow i'j$  or

$ij \in S, i'j \notin S$  or

$ij \notin S, i'j \notin S$  and  $ij \leftarrow i'j$

**Claim.**  $K \cap S$  is a kernel for  $L(K_{n,n})[S]$

*Proof.*  $K$  is a matching  $\Rightarrow K \cap S$  is independent

Suppose there is  $ij \in S \setminus K$  which has no outneighbor in  $K \cap S$ . Let  $ij', i'j \in K$ .

Then either  $ij' \notin S$ , or  $ij' \in S$  and  $ij \leftarrow ij'$ . In any case  $i$  prefers  $j$  to  $j'$ .

Similarly either  $i'j \notin S$  or  $i'j \in S$  and  $ij \leftarrow i'j$ . In any case  $j$  prefers  $i$  to  $i'$ .

Hence  $ij$  is an unstable pair, a contradiction.