

Figure 2.14: Construct a plane embedding of G in which C does not bound a face.

Finally, consider the case that $\mathrm{G} \backslash \mathrm{C}=\emptyset$ (which is not a connected graph according to our definition). As we considered above the case that C is not an induced cycle, the only remaining case is $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{C}$, which is excluded explicitly.

For both special cases for $G$ that are excluded in Lemma 2.20 it is easy to see that all cycles in $G$ bound a face in every plane embedding. This completes the characterization. Also observe that in these special cases $G$ is not 3 -connected.

Corollary 2.21 A cycle C of a 3-connected planar graph G bounds a face in every plane embedding of G if and only if C is an induced cycle and it is not separating.

The following theorem tells us that for a wide range of graphs we have little choice as far as a plane embedding is concerned, at least from a combinatorial point of view. Geometrically, there is still a lot of freedom, though.

Theorem 2.22 (Whitney [22]) A 3-connected planar graph has a unique combinatorial plane embedding (up to equivalence).

Proof. Let $G$ be a 3-connected planar graph and suppose there exist two embeddings $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ of $G$ that are not equivalent. That is, there is a cycle $C=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right), k \geqslant 3$, in G that bounds a face in, say, $\Phi_{1}$ but C does not bound a face in $\Phi_{2}$. By Corollary 2.21 such a cycle has a chord or it is separating. We consider both options.

Case 1: C has a chord $\left\{v_{i}, v_{\mathfrak{j}}\right\}$, with $\mathfrak{j} \geqslant \mathfrak{i}+2$. Denote $A=\left\{v_{x} \mid \mathfrak{i}<x<\mathfrak{j}\right\}$ and $B=\left\{v_{x} \mid x<i \vee \mathfrak{j}<x\right\}$ and observe that both $A$ and $B$ are non-empty (because $\left\{v_{i}, v_{j}\right\}$ is a chord and so $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$ are not adjacent in C ). Given that G is 3 -connected, there is at least one path $P$ from $A$ to $B$ that does not use either of $v_{i}$ or $v_{j}$. Let a denote the last vertex of $P$ that is in $A$, and let $b$ denote the first vertex of $B$ that is in $b$. As $C$ bounds a face f in $\Phi_{1}$, we can add a new vertex $v$ inside the face bounded by C and connect $v$ by four pairwise internally disjoint curves to each of $v_{i}, v_{j}, a$, and $b$. The result is a plane graph $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \supset \mathrm{G}$ that contains a subdivision of $K_{5}$ with branch vertices $v, v_{i}, v_{j}$, a, and b . By Kuratowski's Theorem (Theorem 2.9) this contradicts the planarity of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$.

Case 2: $C$ is separating and, therefore, $G \backslash C$ contains two distinct components $A$ and $B$. (We have $G \neq C$ because $G$ is 3 -connected.) Consider now the embedding $\Phi_{1}$

(a) Case 1.

(b) Case 2.

Figure 2.15: Illustration of the two cases in Theorem 2.22.
in which $C$ bounds a face, without loss of generality (Theorem 2.2) a bounded face $f$. Hence both $A$ and $B$ are embedded in the exterior of $f$.

Choose vertices $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ arbitrarily. As $G$ is 3-connected, by Menger's Theorem (Theorem 1.2), there are at least three pairwise internally vertex-disjoint paths from $a$ to $b$. Fix three such paths $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$ and denote by $c_{i}$ the first point of $\alpha_{i}$ that is on $C$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$. Note that $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are well defined, because $C$ separates $A$ and $B$, and they are pairwise distinct. Therefore, $\{a, b\}$ and $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right\}$ are branch vertices of a $K_{2,3}$ subdivision in $G$. We can add a new vertex $v$ inside the face bounded by $C$ and connect $v$ by three pairwise internally disjoint curves to each of $c_{1}, c_{2}$, and $c_{3}$. The result is a plane graph $\mathrm{G}^{\prime} \supset \mathrm{G}$ that contains a $\mathrm{K}_{3,3}$ subdivision. By Kuratowski's Theorem (Theorem 2.9) this contradicts the planarity of $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$.

In both cases we arrived at a contradiction and so there does not exist such a cycle C. Thus $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ are equivalent.

Whitney's Theorem does not provide a characterization of unique embeddability, because there are both biconnected graphs that have a unique plane embedding (such as cycles) and biconnected graphs that admit several non-equivalent plane embeddings (for instance, a triangulated pentagon).

### 2.4 Triangulating a plane graph

A large and important class of 3-connected graphs is formed by the maximal planar graphs. A graph is maximal planar if no edge can be added so that the resulting graph is still planar.

Lemma 2.23 A maximal planar graph on $\mathfrak{n} \geqslant 3$ vertices is biconnected.
Proof. Consider a maximal planar graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$. If G is not biconnected, then it has a cut-vertex $v$. Take a plane drawing $\Gamma$ of G . As $\mathrm{G} \backslash v$ is disconnected, removal of $v$ also splits $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}(v)$ into at least two components. Therefore, there are two vertices $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b} \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{G}}(v)$ that are adjacent in the circular order of vertices around $v$ in $\Gamma$ and are in
different components of $G \backslash v$. In particular, $\{a, b\} \notin E$ and we can add this edge to $G$ (routing it very close to the path ( $a, v, b$ ) in $\Gamma$ ) without violating planarity. This is in contradiction to G being maximal planar and so G is biconnected.

Lemma 2.24 In a maximal planar graph on $\mathfrak{n} \geqslant 3$ vertices, all faces are topological triangles, that is, each is bounded by exactly three edges.

Proof. Consider a maximal planar graph $G=(V, E)$ and a plane drawing $\Gamma$ of $G$. By Lemma 2.23 we know that $G$ is biconnected and so by Lemma 2.17 every face of $\Gamma$ is bounded by a cycle. Suppose that there is a face f in $\Gamma$ that is bounded by a cycle $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{k-1}$ of $k \geqslant 4$ vertices. We claim that at least one of the edges $\left\{v_{0}, v_{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ is not present in G.

Suppose to the contrary that $\left\{\left\{\nu_{0}, v_{2}\right\},\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}\right\} \subseteq E$. Then we can add a new vertex $v^{\prime}$ in the interior of f and connect $v^{\prime}$ inside f to all of $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ by an edge (curve) without introducing a crossing. In other words, given that G is planar, also the graph $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{V} \cup\left\{v^{\prime}\right\}, \mathrm{E} \cup\left\{\left\{v_{i}, v^{\prime}\right\} \mid i \in\{0,1,2,3\}\right\}\right)$ is planar. However, $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}, v^{\prime}$ are branch vertices of a $\mathrm{K}_{5}$ subdivision in $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}: v^{\prime}$ is connected to all other vertices within f , along the boundary $\partial \mathrm{f}$ of f each vertex $v_{\mathrm{i}}$ is connected to both $v_{(i-1) \bmod 4}$ and $v_{(i+1) \bmod 4}$ and the missing two connections are provided by the edges $\left\{\nu_{0}, \nu_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\nu_{1}, \nu_{3}\right\}$ (Figure 2.16a). By Kuratowski's Theorem this is in contradiction to $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ being planar. Therefore, one of the edges $\left\{v_{0}, v_{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ is not present in G , as claimed.

(a)

(b)

Figure 2.16: Every face of a maximal planar graph is a topological triangle.
So suppose without loss of generality that $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\} \notin \mathrm{E}$. But then we can add this edge (curve) within f to $\Gamma$ without introducing a crossing (Figure 2.16b). It follows that the edge $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$ can be added to $G$ without sacrificing planarity, which is in contradiction to $G$ being maximal planar. Therefore, there is no such face $f$ bounded by four or more vertices.

The proof of Lemma 2.24 also contains the idea for an algorithm to topologically triangulate a plane graph.

Theorem 2.25 For a given connected plane graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ on n vertices one can compute in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ time and space a maximal plane graph $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathrm{E} \subseteq \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Suppose, for instance, that $G$ is represented as a $\mathrm{DCEL}^{2}$, from which one can easily extract the face boundaries. If some vertex $v$ appears several times along the boundary of a single face, it is a cut-vertex. We fix this by adding an edge between the two neighbors of all but the first occurrence of $v$. This can easily be done in linear time by maintaining a counter for each vertex on the face boundary. The total number of edges and vertices along the boundary of all faces is proportional to the number of edges in $G$, which by Corollary 2.5 is linear. Hence we may suppose that all faces of $G$ are bounded by a cycle.

For each face $f$ that is bounded by more than three vertices, select a vertex $v_{f}$ on its boundary and store with each vertex all faces that select it. Then process each vertex $v$ as follows: First mark all neighbors of $v$ in G. Then process all faces that selected $v$. For each such face f with $v_{\mathrm{f}}=v$ iterate over the boundary $\partial \mathrm{f}=\left(v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$, where $\mathrm{k} \geqslant 3$, of f to test whether there is any marked vertex other than the two neighbors $\nu_{1}$ and $v_{\mathrm{k}}$ of $v$ along $\partial \mathrm{f}$.

If there is no such vertex, we can safely triangulate f using a star from $v$, that is, by adding the edges $\left\{v, v_{i}\right\}$, for $i \in\{2, \ldots, k-1\}$ (Figure 2.17a).

Otherwise, let $v_{x}$ be the first marked vertex in the sequence $v_{2}, \ldots, v_{k-1}$. The edge $\left\{v, v_{x}\right\}$ that is embedded as a curve in the exterior of f prevents any vertex from $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{x-1}$ from being connected by an edge in $G$ to any vertex from $v_{x+1}, \ldots, v_{k}$. (This is exactly the argument that we made in the proof of Lemma 2.24 above for the edges $\left\{v_{0}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{1}, v_{3}\right\}$, see Figure 2.16a.) In particular, we can safely triangulate f using a bi-star from $v_{1}$ and $v_{x+1}$, that is, by adding the edges $\left\{v_{1}, v_{i}\right\}$, for $\mathfrak{i} \in\{x+1, \ldots, k\}$, and $\left\{v_{j}, v_{x+1}\right\}$, for $\mathfrak{j} \in\{2, \ldots, x-1\}$ (Figure 2.17b).


Figure 2.17: Topologically triangulating a plane graph.

Finally, conclude the processing of $v$ by removing all marks on its neighbors.
Regarding the runtime bound, note that every face is traversed a constant number of times. In this way, each edge is touched a constant number of times, which by Corollary 2.5 uses linear time overall. Similarly, the vertex marking is done at most twice

[^0](mark und unmark) per vertex. Therefore, the overall time needed can be bounded by $\sum_{v \in \mathrm{~V}} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(v)=2|\mathrm{E}|=\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ by the Handshaking Lemma and Corollary 2.5.

Theorem 2.26 A maximal planar graph on $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 4$ vertices is 3 -connected.

## Exercise 2.27 Prove Theorem 2.26.

Using any of the standard planarity testing algorithms we can obtain a combinatorial embedding of a planar graph in linear time. Together with Theorem 2.25 this yields the following

Corollary 2.28 For a given planar graph $\mathrm{G}=(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$ on n vertices one can compute in $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ time and space a maximal planar graph $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E}^{\prime}\right)$ with $\mathrm{E} \subseteq \mathrm{E}^{\prime}$.

The results discussed in this section can serve as a tool to fix the combinatorial embedding for a given graph G: augment G using Theorem 2.25 to a maximal planar graph $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$, whose combinatorial embedding is unique by Theorem 2.22.

Being maximal planar is a property of an abstract graph. In contrast, a geometric graph to which no straight-line edge can be added without introducing a crossing is called a triangulation. Not every triangulation is maximal
 planar, as the example depicted to the right shows.

It is also possible to triangulate a geometric graph in linear time. But this problem is much more involved. Triangulating a single face of a geometric graph amounts to what is called "triangulating a simple polygon". This can be done in near-linear ${ }^{3}$ time using standard techniques, and in linear time using Chazelle's famous algorithm, whose description spans a fourty pages paper [3].

Exercise 2.29 We discussed the DCEL structure to represent plane graphs in Section 2.2.1. An alternative way to represent an embedding of a maximal planar graph is the following: For each triangle, store references to its three vertices and to its three neighboring triangles. Compare both approaches. Discuss different scenarios where you would prefer one over the other. In particular, analyze the space requirements of both.

Connectivity serves as an important indicator for properties of planar graphs. Another example is the following famous theorem of Tutte that provides a sufficient condition for Hamiltonicity. Its proof is beyond the scope of our lecture.

Theorem 2.30 (Tutte [18]) Every 4-connected planar graph is Hamiltonian.
${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}(n \log n)$ or-using more elaborate tools-O $(n \log * n)$ time

### 2.5 Compact straight-line drawings

As a next step we consider plane embeddings in the geometric setting, where every edge is drawn as a straight-line segment. A classical theorem of Wagner and Fáry states that this is not a restriction in terms of plane embeddability.

Theorem 2.31 (Fáry [5], Wagner [19]) Every planar graph has a plane straight-line embedding (that is, it is isomorphic to a plane straight-line graph).

Although this theorem has a nice inductive proof, we will not prove it here. Instead we will prove a stronger statement that implies Theorem 2.31.

A very nice property of straight-line embeddings is that they are easy to represent: We need to store points/coordinates for the vertices only. From an algorithmic and complexity point of view the space needed by such a representation is important, because it appears in the input and output size of algorithms that work on embedded graphs. While the Fáry-Wagner Theorem guarantees the existence of a plane straight-line embedding for every planar graph, it does not provide bounds on the size of the coordinates used in the representation. But the following strengthening provides such bounds, by describing an algorithm that embeds (without crossings) a given planar graph on a linear size integer grid.

Theorem 2.32 (de Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack [7]) Every planar graph on $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$ vertices has a plane straight-line drawing on the $(2 n-3) \times(n-1)$ integer grid.

Canonical orderings. The key concept behind the algorithm is the notion of a canonical ordering, which is a vertex order that allows to construct a plane drawing in a natural (hence canonical) way. Reading it backwards one may think of a shelling or peeling order that destructs the graph vertex by vertex from the outside. A canonical ordering also provides a succinct representation for the combinatorial embedding.

Definition 2.33 A plane graph is internally triangulated if it is biconnected and every bounded face is a (topological) triangle. Let G be an internally triangulated plane graph and $\mathrm{C}_{\circ}(\mathrm{G})$ its outer cycle. A permutation $\pi=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ of $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{G})$ is a canonical ordering for G , if for all $\mathrm{k}, 3 \leqslant \mathrm{k} \leqslant \mathrm{n}$,
(1) $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is internally triangulated;
(2) $v_{1} v_{2}$ is on the outer cycle $\mathrm{C}_{\circ}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$;
(3) if $k+1 \leqslant n$, then $v_{k+1}$ is located in the outer face of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and its neighbors appear consecutively along $\mathrm{C}_{\circ}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$,
where $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the subgraph of G induced by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{\mathrm{k}}$.


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ If you wonder how the-possibly complicated-curves that correspond to edges are represented: they do not need to be, because here we need a representation of the combinatorial embedding only.

