

Literature for *How bad is Selfish Routing? - Bounding and Handling Selfishness*

1. Ahuja, R. K., Magnanti, T. L., Orlin, J. B.: Network Flows: Theory, Algorithms, and applications. Prentice-Hall, 1993.
2. Braess, D.: Über ein Paradoxon in der Verkehrsplanung. Unternehmensforschung, 12:258-268, 1968.
3. Fortune, S., Hopcroft, J. E., Wyllie, J. C.: The directed subgraph homeomorphism problem. Theoretical Computer Science, 10(2):111-121, 1980.
4. Lin, H., Roughgarden, T., Tardos, É.: A Stronger Bound on Braess's Paradox. Proceedings of SODA 2004, pp. 333-334
5. Roughgarden, T.: Stackelberg Scheduling Strategies. SIAM Journal of Computing, 33(2):332-350, 2001.
6. Roughgarden, T.: Selfish Routing. MIT Press 2004 (forthcoming)
7. Roughgarden, T., Tardos, É.: How Bad is Selfish Routing? Journal of the ACM, 49(2):236-259, March 2002.
8. Roughgarden, T.: On the Severity of Braess's Paradox: Designing Networks for Selfish Users is Hard. Proceedings of FOCS 2001, pp. 472-481.
9. Roughgarden, T.: The price of anarchy is independent of the network topology. Journal of Computer and System Sciences **67(2)** (2003) 341-364
10. Roughgarden, T., Tardos, É.: Bounding the Inefficiency of Equilibria in Nonatomic Congestion Games. Games and Economic Behavior **47(2)** (2004) 389-403
11. Wardrop, J.G.: Some theoretical aspects of road traffic research. Proceedings of the Institute of Civil Engineers, Pt. II **1** (1952) 325-378