

Institut für Theoretische Informatik  
Matúš Mihalák  
Peter Widmayer  
Davide Bilò  
Elias Vicari

## Algorithmic Game Theory FS07

### Exercise sheet 6

#### EXERCISE 6.1:

In the lecture, we have seen some desirable properties that a voting system should preferably have. We summarize hereafter the definitions. Let  $F$  be a *social welfare function* and  $L$  the set of all *preferences* of the players over the candidate set  $A$ , and  $a, b \in A$  be two arbitrary candidates.  $(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n) \in L^n$  is an arbitrary *preference profile* and  $\succ = F(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$ .

- i)  $F$  is said to fulfil the *unanimity* condition if the fact that  $a \succ_i b$  for every player  $i$ , implies  $a \succ b$ .
- ii)  $F$  is said to be *consistent* if given two profiles  $(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$  and  $(\succ'_1, \dots, \succ'_n)$  and the preferences  $\succ = F(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$  and  $\succ' = F(\succ'_1, \dots, \succ'_n)$ , the following holds:

$$\forall i (a \succ_i b \Leftrightarrow a \succ'_i b) \implies (a \succ b \Leftrightarrow a \succ' b)$$

- iii)  $F$  is a *dictatorship* if there is a player  $i$  who is a *dictator* in  $F$ , i.e., a player who dictates the outcome of the election: for every profile  $\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n$  it holds that,  $F(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n) = \succ_i$ .

We are now ready for the following questions.

- a) If  $F$  is a dictatorship, how many dictators can it have?
- b) Consider elections in which there are only two candidates  $a$  and  $b$ , i.e.,  $|A| = 2$ . Thus the preference of every player  $i$  is either  $a \succ_i b$  or  $b \succ_i a$ . The *majority* vote between two candidates chooses the candidate that is preferred by the majority of the players. In case of a tie,  $a$  is chosen. Show that the majority vote, considered as a social choice function, is truthful, i.e., no player can strategically manipulate this voting system (see later for the definition).
- c) Can you devise for the case of b) a social welfare function  $F$ , which satisfies unanimity, consistency and which is not a dictatorship?
- d) Consider a social choice function  $f : L^n \rightarrow A$ ,  $|A| \geq 3$ , which is not onto (that is, at least a candidate can never be elected). Can you devise a truthful social choice function  $f$ ?
- e) How many different social welfare functions that satisfy unanimity and consistency are there, for the setting with  $n$  voters and  $|A| \geq 3$ ?
- f) What can you say in e), if  $|A| = 2$ ?

#### EXERCISE 6.2:

Recall the following two definitions. Thereby  $L$  is as before the set of all the possible preferences of the players.

- i) A social choice function is *truthful* if it cannot be *strategically manipulated*. A player  $i$  can strategically manipulate a social choice function  $f$  if for some  $\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n \in L$  and some  $\succ'_i \in L$ , we have  $a' \succ_i a$ , where  $a = f(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$  and  $a' = f(\succ_1, \dots, \succ'_i, \dots, \succ_n)$ .
- ii) A social choice function  $f$  is *monotone* if for all preference profiles,  $f(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_i, \dots, \succ_n) = a \neq a' = f(\succ_1, \dots, \succ'_i, \dots, \succ_n)$  implies that  $a \succ_i a'$  and  $a' \succ'_i a$ .

Prove that a social choice function is truthful if and only if it is monotone.

### EXERCISE 6.3:

The proof of *Arrow's theorem* relies on the lemma called *Pairwise Neutrality*. Let us first state the lemma.

Let  $F$  be a social welfare function over  $A$ ,  $|A| \geq 3$ , which satisfies unanimity and consistency. Further let  $\pi_1 = (\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$  and  $\pi_2 = (\succ'_1, \dots, \succ'_n)$  be two preference profiles and  $a, b, c, d$  (possibly not distinct) candidates such that for every player  $i$ , it holds that  $a \succ_i b$  if and only if  $c \succ'_i d$ . Then  $a \succ b$  if and only if  $c \succ' d$ , where  $\succ = F(\succ_1, \dots, \succ_n)$  and  $\succ' = F(\succ'_1, \dots, \succ'_n)$ .

Prove the Pairwise Neutrality Lemma by following the given trace.

- Argue, that if  $a = c$  and  $b = d$  the claim follows easily and show that otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $a \succ b$  and  $c \neq b$ .
- Create a new profile  $\pi^* = (\succ_1^*, \dots, \succ_n^*)$  as follows: for every  $i$  take the preference  $\succ_i$  and move  $c$  just above  $a$  (unless  $c = a$ ) and  $d$  just below  $b$  (unless  $d = b$ ) and leave the other candidates where they are in the ordering  $\succ_i$ . Let  $\succ^* = F(\succ_1^*, \dots, \succ_n^*)$ .  
Show that for every  $i$ ,  $a \succ_i b$  if and only if  $a \succ_i^* b$  and  $c \succ'_i d$  if and only if  $c \succ_i^* d$ .
- Show that  $c \succ^* a$  and  $b \succ^* d$ .
- Use the assumptions of the lemma to conclude that  $c \succ^* d$  and thus  $c \succ' d$ .

**Deadline.** You are to hand in your solutions during the lecture on Thursday, November 15th, 8:15-10:00 in CAB G11.