

## Line graphs and edge coloring \_\_\_\_\_

A  **$k$ -edge-coloring** of a multigraph  $G$  is a labeling  $f : E(G) \rightarrow S$ , where  $|S| = k$ . The labels are called **colors**; the edges of one color form a **color class**. A  $k$ -edge-coloring is **proper** if incident edges have different labels. A multigraph is  **$k$ -edge-colorable** if it has a proper  $k$ -edge-coloring.

The **edge-chromatic number** (or **chromatic index**) of a loopless multigraph  $G$  is

$$\chi'(G) := \min\{k : G \text{ is } k\text{-edge-colorable}\}.$$

A multigraph  $G$  is  **$k$ -edge-chromatic** if  $\chi'(G) = k$ .

*Remarks.*  $\chi'(G) = \chi(L(G))$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(G) &\leq \omega(L(G)) \\ &\leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta(L(G)) + 1 \\ &\leq 2\Delta(G) - 1 \end{aligned}$$

1

## Vizing's Theorem \_\_\_\_\_

*Example.*  $K_{2n}$

**Theorem.** (König, 1916)

For a bipartite multigraph  $G$ ,  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G)$

**Proposition.**  $\chi'(Petersen) = 4$ .

**Theorem.** (Vizing, 1964) For a simple graph  $G$ ,

$$\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1.$$

*Generalization.* If the maximum edge-multiplicity in a multigraph  $G$  is  $\mu(G)$ , then  $\chi'(G) \leq \Delta(G) + \mu(G)$

*Example.* Fat triangle;  $\chi'(G) = \Delta(G) + \mu(G)$ .

2

## Proof of Vizing's Theorem (A. Schrijver) \_\_\_\_\_

Induction on  $n(G)$ .

If  $n(G) = 1$ , then  $G = K_1$ ; the theorem is OK.

Assume  $n(G) > 1$ . Delete a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ . By induction  $G - v$  is  $(\Delta(G) + 1)$ -edge-colorable.

Why is  $G$  also  $(\Delta(G) + 1)$ -edge-colorable?

We prove the following

**Stronger Statement.** Let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer. Let  $v \in V(G)$ , such that

- $d(v) \leq k$ ,
- $d(u) \leq k$  for every  $u \in N(v)$ , and
- $d(u) = k$  for **at most one**  $u \in N(v)$ .

Then

$G - v$  is  $k$ -edge-colorable  $\Rightarrow G$  is  $k$ -edge-colorable.

3

## Proof of the Stronger Statement I \_\_\_\_\_

Induction  $k$  (!!!)

For  $k = 1$  it is OK.

W.l.o.g.  $d(u) = k - 1$  for every  $u \in N(v)$ , except for **exactly one**  $w \in N(v)$  for which  $d(w) = k$ .

Let  $f : E(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  be a proper  $k$ -edge-coloring of  $G - v$ , which **minimizes**

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |X_i|^2.$$

Here  $X_i := \{u \in N(v) : u \text{ is missing color } i\}$ .

4

## Proof of the Stronger Statement II\_\_\_\_\_

Case 1. There is an  $i$ , with  $|X_i| = 1$ . Say  $X_k = \{u\}$ .

Let  $G' = G - uv - \{xy : f(xy) = k\}$ .

Apply the induction hypothesis for  $G'$  and  $k - 1$ .

Case 2.  $|X_i| \neq 1$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k |X_i| = 2d(v) - 1 < 2k.$$

So there are colors  $i$  with  $|X_i| = 0$  and  
 $j$  with an odd  $|X_j| \neq 1$ .

$H$  is subgraph spanned by edges of color  $i$  and  $j$ .

Switch colors  $i$  and  $j$  in a component  $C$  of  $H$ , where

$|C \cap X_j| = 1$ .

This reduces  $\sum_{l=1}^k |X_l|^2$ , a contradiction.