ETH Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich
Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Zurich
Politecnico federale di Zurigo
Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

Institut für Theoretische Informatik
Dr. Tibor Szabó and Miloš Stojaković

January 19, 2005

Graph Theory — Problem Set 12

Course Webpage: `http://www.ti.inf.ethz.ch/ew/courses/GT04/`

Due Date: January 26, 2005 at the lecture

Exercise 12.1

(Exercise 5.2.2 in the Textbook)

(–) Prove that a simple graph is a complete multipartite graph if and only if it has no 3-vertex induced subgraph with one edge (i.e., $K_1 + K_2$).

Exercise 12.2

(Exercise 5.2.15 in the Textbook)

(!) Prove that every triangle-free n-vertex graph has chromatic number at most $2\sqrt{n}$. (Comment: Thus every k-chromatic triangle-free graph has at least $k^2/4$ vertices.)

Exercise 12.3

(Exercise not in the Textbook)

a) Prove that the Turán graph $T_{n,r-1}$ is a unique graph which maximizes the sum of the squared degrees (i.e., $\sum_{v\in V(G)} d(v)^2$) among all K_r-free n-vertex graphs G. (Hint: Mimic the proof of Turán's theorem.)

b) Prove that the statement of part (a) is no longer generally true if we consider maximizing $\sum_{v\in V(G)} d(v)^4$ instead.

Exercise 12.4

(Exercise not in the Textbook)

Let s, t, n be natural numbers such that $0 < s \le t \le n$.

a) Let G be a graph with n vertices which does not contain any $K_{t,s}$ as a subgraph. Prove that $\sum_{v\in V(G)} \binom{d(v)}{s} \le (t-1)\binom{n}{s}$. (Hint: Count the number of copies of $K_{1,s}$ in two ways.)

b) Use part (a) to prove that $ex(n, K_{t,s}) \le Cn^{2-1/s}$ for some constant C depending only on s, t. (Hint: Use the estimates $(\frac{a}{b})^b \le \binom{a}{b} \le a^b$ and Jensen's inequality.)

Exercise 12.5

(Exercise not in the Textbook)

a) Given n distinct points in the plane, prove that the distance is exactly 1 for at most $O(n^{3/2})$ pairs. (Hint: First prove that the unit-distance graph contains no $K_{3,2}$, and apply the result in Exercise 12.4.)

b) Given n distinct points in the 3-dimensional space, prove that the distance is exactly 1 for at most $O(n^{5/3})$ pairs.

Exercise 12.6

(Exercise not in the Textbook)

Let p be a prime number, and $\mathbb{F}_p = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, p-1\}$. Consider the following graph G_p. The vertex set of G_p is $\mathbb{F}_p^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, and an edge is drawn between distinct $(a,b), (a',b') \in \mathbb{F}_p^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$ if and only if $aa' + bb' \equiv 1 \mod p$.

a) Prove that G_p does not contain $K_{2,2}$. (Hint: You can utilize the fact that $\mathbb{F}_p$ constitutes a field under the addition and the multiplication modulo p.)

b) Show that $e(G_p) \ge (p-1)(p^2-1)/2$.

c) From parts (a) and (b), conclude that $ex(n, K_{2,2}) = \Omega(n^{3/2})$.

(Comment: Together with Exercise 12.4, we can see that $ex(n, K_{2,2}) = \Theta(n^{3/2})$.)

Please look at the back side for the supplement.

Supplement

1. Convex functions and Jensen's inequality

A function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is **convex** if for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for any $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y) \geq f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda) y).$$

A convex function f satisfies the following inequality: For any integer $k \geq 1$, any $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and any $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i = 1$, it holds that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i f(x_i) \geq f\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i x_i\right).$$

This inequality is called **Jensen's inequality**.

2. Big-O notation

Let $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}$ be two functions. We write $f(n) = O(g(n))$ if f is bounded by g from above in the order of magnitude. Formally speaking, we say $f(n) = O(g(n))$ if there exist k and M (which depend on f, g) such that for all $n > k$ it holds that $|f(n)/g(n)| \leq M$. If $g(n) = O(f(n))$, we write $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$. If $f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$, then we write $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$.

Another frequently encountered notation is the little-o. We write $f(n) = o(g(n))$ if f is negligible compared to g in the order of magnitude (or f is less than g in the order of magnitude). Formally speaking, we say $f(n) = o(g(n))$ if $\lim_{n\to\infty} |f(n)/g(n)| = 0$.

Here is the summary.

Notation	Definition	Interpretation
$f(n) = O(g(n))$	$\exists k, M\ \forall n > k$: $\lvert f(n)/g(n)\rvert \leq M$	f is at most g in the order of magnitude.
$f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$	$\exists k, M\ \forall n > k$: $\lvert g(n)/f(n)\rvert \leq M$	f is at least g in the order of magnitude.
$f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$	$f(n) = O(g(n))$ and $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$	f has the same order of magnitude as g.
$f(n) = o(g(n))$	$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lvert f(n)/g(n)\rvert = 0$	f is less than g in the order of magnitude.